

Approximate LP Solution w/o Approximate Separation Oracle: the Case of 2-Dimensional Bin Packing

Alberto Caprara

University of Bologna, Italy

alberto.caprara@unibo.it



joint work with **Nikhil Bansal, Klaus Jansen, Lars Prädél and Maxim Sviridenko**

Aussois 2010

Approximate LP Solution w/o Approximate Separation Oracle: the Case of 2-Dimensional Bin Packing

Alberto Caprara

University of Bologna, Italy

alberto.caprara@unibo.it



joint work with **Nikhil Bansal, Klaus Jansen, Lars Prädél and Maxim Sviridenko**

Short Summary: 2nd attempt to give my first powerpoint presentation . . .

Aussois 2010

Preamble: Avoid jokes on your conference trip before the trip . . .

Preamble: Avoid jokes on your conference trip before the trip . . .



Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

2-Dim Bin Packing: given a set of rectangular **items**, pack them without overlaps into the minimum number of identical rectangular **bins**

Basic Case: Orthogonal packing, 90° rotations may be allowed or not

Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

2-Dim Bin Packing: given a set of rectangular **items**, pack them without overlaps into the minimum number of identical rectangular **bins**

Basic Case: Orthogonal packing, 90° rotations may be allowed or not

Short overview of polynomial-time (asymptotic) approximability (case with no rotations):

- 20th century: **2.125** [**Chung, Garey and Johnson**]

Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

2-Dim Bin Packing: given a set of rectangular **items**, pack them without overlaps into the minimum number of identical rectangular **bins**

Basic Case: Orthogonal packing, 90° rotations may be allowed or not

Short overview of polynomial-time (asymptotic) approximability (case with no rotations):

- 20th century: **2.125** [**Chung, Garey and Johnson**]
- 2000: **$2 + \varepsilon$** , from approximation scheme for Strip Packing [**Kenyon and Rémila**]

Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

2-Dim Bin Packing: given a set of rectangular **items**, pack them without overlaps into the minimum number of identical rectangular **bins**

Basic Case: Orthogonal packing, 90° rotations may be allowed or not

Short overview of polynomial-time (asymptotic) approximability (case with no rotations):

- 20th century: **2.125** [**Chung, Garey and Johnson**]
- 2000: **$2 + \varepsilon$** , from approximation scheme for Strip Packing [**Kenyon and Rémila**]
- 2002: **1.691...** [**C.**]

Starting Point: 2-Dim Bin Packing and its Approximability

2-Dim Bin Packing: given a set of rectangular **items**, pack them without overlaps into the minimum number of identical rectangular **bins**

Basic Case: Orthogonal packing, 90° rotations may be allowed or not

Short overview of polynomial-time (asymptotic) approximability (case with no rotations):

- 20th century: **2.125** [**Chung, Garey and Johnson**]
- 2000: **$2 + \varepsilon$** , from approximation scheme for Strip Packing [**Kenyon and Rémila**]
- 2002: **1.691...** [**C.**]

Further progress was “almost” made in 2005:

Theorem A [**C. and Sviridenko**] *If there exists a PTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing, then there exists a polynomial-time **1.525...**-approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

PTAS: For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, finds a solution of value at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\text{opt}$ in polynomial time (at least $(1 - \varepsilon)\text{opt}$ for a maximization problem)

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing

2-Dim Bin Packing can be formulated as the following **Set Covering** problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} x_C \\ & \sum_{C \ni i} x_C \geq 1 \quad (i \in I) \\ & x_C \geq 0, \text{ integer} \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

- I : set of **items**
- \mathcal{C} : collection of **configurations** (sets of items that can be packed into a bin)

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing

2-Dim Bin Packing can be formulated as the following **Set Covering** problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} x_C \\ & \sum_{C \ni i} x_C \geq 1 \quad (i \in I) \\ & x_C \geq 0, \text{ integer} \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

- I : set of **items**
- \mathcal{C} : collection of **configurations** (sets of items that can be packed into a bin)

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing: continuous relaxation of this Set Covering problem

- $O(2^{|I|})$ variables: column generation needed
- **key relaxation** for the practical solution of 2-Dim Bin Packing

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

The dual of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & \pi_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

The dual of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & \pi_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

Dual Separation (or Column Generation) Problem:

- Given $\pi^* \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|}$, find $C^* \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sum_{i \in C^*} \pi_i^* > 1$

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

The dual of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & \pi_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

Dual Separation (or Column Generation) Problem:

- Given $\pi^* \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|}$, find $C^* \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sum_{i \in C^*} \pi_i^* > 1$
- **Equivalently**, given a set I of rectangular items, the i th with a profit π_i^* , pack a subset of I of total profit > 1 into a single rectangular bin

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

The dual of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & \pi_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

Dual Separation (or Column Generation) Problem:

- Given $\pi^* \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|I|}$, find $C^* \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sum_{i \in C^*} \pi_i^* > 1$
- **Equivalently**, given a set I of rectangular items, the i th with a profit π_i^* , pack a subset of I of total profit > 1 into a single rectangular bin
- **Recognition Version** of 2-Dim Knapsack (in **Optimization Version**: pack a maximum-profit subset of I)

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

Connection between separation and optimization from the 1980s:

Theorem [Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver] *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* > 1,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

Connection between separation and optimization from the 1980s:

Theorem [Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver] *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* > 1,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

Cannot be applied: 2-Dim Knapsack is strongly NP-complete (also in Recognition Version)

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

Connection between separation and optimization from the 1980s:

Theorem [Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver] *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* > 1,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

Cannot be applied: 2-Dim Knapsack is strongly NP-complete (also in Recognition Version)

Connection between approximate separation and approximate optimization from the 1990s:

Theorem [Plotkin, Shmoys and Tardos] *There exists a PTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing (cont.d)

Connection between separation and optimization from the 1980s:

Theorem [Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver] *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* > 1,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

Cannot be applied: 2-Dim Knapsack is strongly NP-complete (also in Recognition Version)

Connection between approximate separation and approximate optimization from the 1990s:

Theorem [Plotkin, Shmoys and Tardos] *There exists a PTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given π^* such that*

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

finds a solution to the dual separation problem

Cannot be applied at present: the existence of a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack is **open!**

Any Hope w/o a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack?

Any Hope w/o a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack?

In fact, an **Asymptotic** PTAS (APTAS) for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing would suffice

APTAS: For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, finds a solution of value at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\text{opt} + \delta(\varepsilon)$ in polynomial time

Theorem A' [C. and Sviridenko] *If there exists an APTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing, then there exists a polynomial-time $1.525 \dots$ -approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

Any Hope w/o a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack?

In fact, an **Asymptotic** PTAS (APTAS) for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing would suffice

APTAS: For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, finds a solution of value at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\text{opt} + \delta(\varepsilon)$ in polynomial time

Theorem A' [C. and Sviridenko] *If there exists an APTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing, then there exists a polynomial-time 1.525...-approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

Moreover, for a 2-Dim Knapsack instance I let:

- p_i : **profit** of item i (π_i^* for dual separation)
- a_i : **area** of item i

Any Hope w/o a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack?

In fact, an **Asymptotic** PTAS (APTAS) for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing would suffice

APTAS: For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, finds a solution of value at most $(1 + \varepsilon)\text{opt} + \delta(\varepsilon)$ in polynomial time

Theorem A' [C. and Sviridenko] *If there exists an APTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing, then there exists a polynomial-time 1.525...-approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

Moreover, for a 2-Dim Knapsack instance I let:

- p_i : **profit** of item i (π_i^* for dual separation)
- a_i : **area** of item i

Theorem B *For any fixed $r \geq 1$, there exists a PTAS for 2-Dim Knapsack **restricted** to instances I for which $p_i/a_i \in [1, r]$ for $i \in I$*

In other words, if the range of the **profit/area ratios** is bounded by a constant we have a PTAS

(**Special Case:** PTAS to maximize the area packed ($p_i = a_i$ for $i \in I$), **open** before this work!)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B?

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B?

Cannot be used as such for dual separation . . .

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B?

Cannot be used as such for dual separation . . .

. . . **New Idea: Variant of dual** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing **with bounds on dual variables:**

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & 0 \leq \pi_i \leq 4a_i \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B?

Cannot be used as such for dual separation . . .

. . . **New Idea: Variant of dual** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing **with bounds on dual variables:**

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i \\ & \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i \leq 1 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ & 0 \leq \pi_i \leq 4a_i \quad (i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

Associated with **variant of primal:**

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} x_C + \sum_{i \in I} 4a_i y_i \\ & \sum_{C \ni i} x_C + y_i \geq 1 \quad (i \in I) \\ & x_C, y_i \geq 0 \quad (C \in \mathcal{C}, i \in I) \end{aligned}$$

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

Proof. Consider a solution (x_C^*, y_i^*) of the variant and let $I^* := \{i \in I : y_i^* > 0\}$:

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

Proof. Consider a solution (x_C^*, y_i^*) of the variant and let $I^* := \{i \in I : y_i^* > 0\}$:

- Pack the items in I^* into bins by Next-Fit Decreasing Height (NFDH)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

Proof. Consider a solution (x_C^*, y_i^*) of the variant and let $I^* := \{i \in I : y_i^* > 0\}$:

- Pack the items in I^* into bins by Next-Fit Decreasing Height (NFDH)
- If at least one bin contains items $S^* \subseteq I^*$ such that $\sum_{i \in S^*} a_i \geq 1/4$, let $\alpha := \min_{i \in S^*} y_i^*$, and define the new solution $y_i^* := y_i^* - \alpha$ ($i \in I^*$), $x_{S^*} := x_{S^*} + \alpha$ (feasible, value $\leq z^*$)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

Proof. Consider a solution (x_C^*, y_i^*) of the variant and let $I^* := \{i \in I : y_i^* > 0\}$:

- Pack the items in I^* into bins by Next-Fit Decreasing Height (NFDH)
- If at least one bin contains items $S^* \subseteq I^*$ such that $\sum_{i \in S^*} a_i \geq 1/4$, let $\alpha := \min_{i \in S^*} y_i^*$, and define the new solution $y_i^* := y_i^* - \alpha$ ($i \in I^*$), $x_{S^*} := x_{S^*} + \alpha$ (feasible, value $\leq z^*$)
- **Repeat** until no bin packed by NFDH has area occupied $\geq 1/4$

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemma 1 *There exists a PTAS for the **variant** of 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

Proof. Here is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given $\pi_i^* \in [0, 4a_i]^{|I|}$ such that $\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i^* \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, finds a solution to the dual separation problem:

- Remove all the items $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i^* \leq (\varepsilon/4)a_i$ (overall contribution to profit $\leq \varepsilon/4$)
- For the items left, the range of the profit/area ratios is $[\varepsilon/4, 4]$ ($[1, 16/\varepsilon]$ after scaling)
- Apply the PTAS of Theorem B with internal precision $\varepsilon/2$ (now $r = 16/\varepsilon$) □

Lemma 2 *Given any solution of the **variant** of value z^* , we can obtain in polynomial time a solution of the **original** 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing of value at most $z^* + 2$*

Proof. Consider a solution (x_C^*, y_i^*) of the variant and let $I^* := \{i \in I : y_i^* > 0\}$:

- Pack the items in I^* into bins by Next-Fit Decreasing Height (NFDH)
- If at least one bin contains items $S^* \subseteq I^*$ such that $\sum_{i \in S^*} a_i \geq 1/4$, let $\alpha := \min_{i \in S^*} y_i^*$, and define the new solution $y_i^* := y_i^* - \alpha$ ($i \in I^*$), $x_{S^*} := x_{S^*} + \alpha$ (feasible, value $\leq z^*$)
- **Repeat** until no bin packed by NFDH has area occupied $\geq 1/4$

At the end, at most two bins are packed by NFDH, say with items S_1^* and S_2^* : define the final solution $y_i^* := 0$ ($i \in I^*$), $x_{S_1^*} := x_{S_2^*} := 1$ (feasible, value $\leq z^* + 2$) □

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply:

Theorem *There exists an ϵ -PTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply:

Theorem *There exists an ϵ -APTAS for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

which in turn by Theorem A' implies:

Corollary *There exists a polynomial-time $1.525 \dots$ -approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply:

Theorem *There exists an **APTAS** for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

which in turn by Theorem A' implies:

Corollary *There exists a polynomial-time **1.525...**-approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

Structural Lemma leading to the PTAS in Theorem B: given an “**unstructured**” packing of items (left), proves the existence of a corresponding “**structured**” packing of the same items (right)

How to use the PTAS of Theorem B? (cont.d)

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply:

Theorem *There exists an **APTAS** for 2-Dim Fractional Bin Packing*

which in turn by **Theorem A'** implies:

Corollary *There exists a polynomial-time **1.525...**-approximation algorithm for 2-Dim Bin Packing*

Structural Lemma leading to the PTAS in Theorem B: given an “unstructured” packing of items (left), proves the existence of a corresponding “structured” packing of the same items (right)

